

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,249	07/30/2003	Brian Sze-Ki Mo	018865-001740US	9390
20350 7:	590 08/10/2004		EXAMINER	
	AND TOWNSEND AN	HA, NATHAN W		
TWO EMBAR EIGHTH FLO	CADERO CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ISCO, CA 94111-3834		2814	
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/630,249	MO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nathan W. Ha	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 46-96 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 46-96 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	<b></b> □	(DTO 440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/03.		atent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The preliminary amendments filed 2/9/04 and 12/8/03 have been entered and considered.

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the termination trench must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

Application/Control Number: 10/630,249 Page 3

Art Unit: 2814

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 57-59 and 74-76 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 57-59 and 74-76 claim that the termination structure comprises a trench. Because neither the drawings nor the specification discloses this trench, the Office assumes that the Applicants mean the trench is same as the well, well 40, for example. However, trench and well are different; well is a dope region in a substrate, and trench is a hollow, or hole that physically created in the substrate. For the examination purposes, the Office relies on the submitted drawings; therefore, the termination region is a well region, region 40.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 46-49, 50-52, 54-58, 60-65, 67-73, 84, 86-87, 89, and 92-95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hshieh et al., US 5,689,128, hereinafter, Hshieh.

In regard to claims 46 and 67, in fig. 3, Hshieh discloses a field effect transistor comprising:

a semiconductor substrate 10 having dopants of a first conductivity type, n-type; a trench 24 extending a predetermined depth into the semiconductor substrate; a doped well 14 having dopants of a second conductivity type, p-type, opposite to the first conductivity type and extending into the semiconductor substrate to form a well junction at a first depth;

a doped source region 20 having dopants of the first conductivity type and extending into the semiconductor substrate to form a source junction at a second depth; and

a doped heavy body region 36 having dopants of the second conductivity type and extending into the doped well to form a heavy body junction at a depth that is deeper than the source junction and shallower than the depth of the trench,

wherein the heavy body region inherently forms an abrupt junction in the doped well. The gate-forming trenches 22 arranged substantially parallel to each other.

It should be noted that even though the cited reference does not mention the function of the heavily doped region as abrupt junction. However, the cited art discloses a structure of the device that is identical with the instant application's device. Therefore, the cited device is capable of performing functions and properties as currently claimed.

Art Unit: 2814

In regard to claims 48, 68, Hshieh further discloses that the doped well has a flat bottom. See fig. 3, for example.

In regard to claims 49 and 71, wherein the trench has rounded top corners. See also, fig. 3.

In regard to claims 50 and 72, wherein the trench has rounded bottoms corners. See also, fig. 3.

In regard to claims 51 and 73, wherein the trench has rounded top and bottom corners. See also, fig.3.

In regard to claim 52, wherein the heavy body comprises a heavily doped region 18 formed by implanting dopants of the second conductivity type at an approximate location of the abrupt junction. See also, fig.3.

In regard to claims 54 and 89, wherein the substrate comprises a first highly doped region 10 and a second doped region 34 disposed above said first highly doped region, the second doped region having a lower doping concentration relative to the first highly doped region. See fig.3, where the plus sign indicates heavier concentration.

In regard to claim 55, Hshieh further discloses a terminator structure surrounding the device. See the discussion in col.3, lines 45-49.

In regard to claim 56, wherein the termination portion comprises a doped region, and it inherently creates a p/n junction therein. See also, col. 3, lines 45-49.

In regard to claims 57-58, in accordance with the above claim objections, wherein the termination portion conventionally contains trench. See also, col.3, lines 46-48.

Art Unit: 2814

In regard to claims 60-61, Hshieh further discloses the second doped region, region 34, has a thickness of 1-2 microns. See col. 4, lines 49-51.

In regard to claims 62-63, and 92-93, wherein the doped well extends into the second doped region of the substrate such that the resulting thickness of the second doped region of the substrate is approximately 1 micron. See col. 4, line 1 and col.4, lines 49-51.

In regard to claims 64 and 94, wherein the depth of the doped heavy body is .5 microns. See col. 4, lines 3-5.

In regard to claims 65 and 95, wherein a distance between a bottom of the doped heavy body to the doped well junction ranges from approximately 0.5 to 1.5 microns. Figure 3 depicts this range since region 14 is 2.5 microns and heavily doped region 18 extends at least half way of the region 34. See col.3, lines 15-19 and fig. 3.

In regard to claim 70, wherein the doped well has a depth less than the first depth of the gate-forming trenches. See fig.3.

In regard to claim 84, wherein between a pair of adjacent trenches a plurality of doped source regions 20 are positioned on opposite sides of each trench, and wherein the heavy body is bounded by the pair of adjacent trenches and the doped source regions. See fig. 3, for example.

In regard to claim 86, Hshieh further discloses a layer 24 of dielectric material lining inside walls of each of said plurality of gate-forming trenches; and

a layer of conductive material 22, gate, substantially filling the gate-forming trenches. See col. 3, lines 21-26.

In regard to claim 87, wherein the layer of conductive material comprises polysilicon. See col. 3, lines 24-25.

In regard to claim 47 and 69, the device as mentioned above further inherently discloses that location of the abrupt junction relative to the well junction at the second depth is adjusted so that, when voltage is applied to the transistor, a peak electric field is spaced away from the trench in the semiconductor. For instance, the depth of the abrupt junction significantly changes in order to control the current that passes through the channel of the device. It should be noted that the electrical field which inherently occurs there in is controlled relatively by the magnitude of the current. For example, when an external voltage is applied at the gate of the device, it excites electrons to move in one direction creating a current in a reverse direction therein. The electric field, however, is created. Therefore, to control the electric filed it is inherently to control the current. The current is controlled by the concentration and the thickness of the channel of the transistor. See also, col. 4, lines 15-30.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 53, 59, and 74-83, 85, 88, 90-91, and 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hshieh as applied to claims 46-49, 50-52, 54-

Art Unit: 2814

58, 60-65, 67-73, 84, 86-87, 89, and 92-95 above, and further in view of Williams et al. (US 6,204,533, hereinafter, Williams.)

In regard to claims 53 and 88, Hshieh discloses all of the claimed limitations as mentioned above and further discloses that the trench is lined up with a dielectric material 24 and filled with conductive material 22, fig. 3. Hshieh, however, does not expressly disclose that the conductive material is recessed relatively to the surface of the substrate.

Williams, in fig. 3, for example, discloses an analogous device that includes substrate 300, doped region 302 with a first conductivity type (n-type), heavily doped region 317, and deep trenches 304. These trenches are filled with conductive material and coated with an insulating layer 306B. The trenches are recessed relatively to the surface of the substrate in order to allow the insulating layer to cover entirely the conductive layer. This layer, therefore, securely isolated from the above conductive layer 312 and active regions in the vicinity, therefore, preventing shortage that might happen among these regions, for example, the gate, the source, and wells, etc.

Shorting the gate to the source would disable the device. See also, col. 4, lines 40-46.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to imbed a recess on the conductive area as taught by Williams in Hshieh's device to further secure the conductive layer as discussed above in order to prevent electrically short circuit that would disable the MOSFET.

In regard to claims 59, 74, 76, and 78, and in accordance with the claimed objections above, Hshieh discloses all of the claimed limitations. Hshieh further

Art Unit: 2814

discusses termination region surrounding the device, or gate-forming trenches. Since Hshieh does not explicitly show this termination region in the drawings, the Office assumes that Hshieh does not expressly disclose the depth of this termination region such substantially the same depth (this could be a little deeper since the term substantially relatively does not describe the exact number) as the transistor trench.

Williams, in fig. 3, for example, discloses an analogous device that includes substrate 300, doped region 302 with a first conductivity type (n-type), heavily doped region 317, and deep trenches 304, a deep well 316 that functions as a termination region surrounding the device that has a depth extends to substantially the same depth as the transistor trench. This depth trench operates to reduce the strength of the electric field across the gate oxide at the corners of the trenches and limit the formation of hot carriers in the vicinity of the trench. See also, col. 4, line 66- col. 5, line 5.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize the teaching of the deep termination region as taught by Williams to incorporate this feature in Hshieh's device in order to operates to reduce the strength of the electric field across the gate oxide at the corners of the trenches and limit the formation of hot carriers in the vicinity of the trench.

In regard to claim 75, P region 613 adjacent to n-region 302 forms a p/n junction inherently. See Williams' fig. 3.

In regard to claim 77, Williams further discloses a layer of dielectric material 314 formed over the deep doped region; and

Art Unit: 2814

a layer of conductive material 312 formed on top of the layer of dielectric material.

The dielectric material layer is used to ensure short circuit between the source and the gate. And the conductive layer is used to deliver current and tie the deep p+ diffusion region to N+ source region. This feature is commonly used in this vertical trench gate device.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the at the time of the invention was made to recognize the commonly used features as taught by Williams in order to properly make connections between layers and protect the device from being electrically short.

In regard to claim 79, see above discussions regarding to claims 57-59.

In regard to claims 80-81, and 85, Williams further discloses all regions extend along the trench, See fig. 5.

In regard to claim 82, Hshieh further discloses comprising a source contact region defined at the surface of the semiconductor substrate and configured to contact the doped source region by layer 30. See also, fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 44-48.

In regard to claim 83, wherein the source contact regions are alternately formed. See fig. 3.

In regard to claim 90, see the above discussion regarding to claim 60, where Hshieh teaches the thickness of the second doped region.

In regard to claim 91, see the above discussion regarding to claim 61, where Hshieh teaches the thickness of the second doped region.

Art Unit: 2814

In regard to claim 96, see the above discussion regarding to claim 66, where Hshieh teaches the thickness of the distance between the bottom of the doped heavy body to the junction.

Claim 66 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hshieh as applied to claims 46-49, 50-52, 54-58, 60-65, 67-73, 84, 86-87, 89, and 92-95 above.

In regard to claim 66, Hshieh discloses all of the claimed limitation as mentioned above except explicitly mention the thickness, or distance of the heavily doped body to the doped well junction that is less than 0.5 microns. Hshieh, however, discloses the well region which contains the heavily doped region is 2.5 microns. See the above discussion regarding to claim 65.

At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the thickness of this region because applicant has not disclosed that this thickness provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected applicant's invention to perform equally well with either shape because they perform the same function of promoting electrical contacts between regions, in this case, regions 14 and layer 30.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hshieh to obtain the invention as specified in the above claim.

Indeed, it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, In re Rose,

Art Unit: 2814

220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Note that the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed dimensions of any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is aid to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan W. Ha whose telephone number is (571) 272-1707. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:00-7:00(EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2814

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 13

Nathan Ha

August 5, 2004

John v. K